

Phyllis A. Jones (*pro hac vice*)
 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
 One CityCenter
 850 Tenth Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20001-4956
 Telephone: + 1 (202) 662-6000
 Facsimile: + 1 (202) 662-6291
 Email: pajones@cov.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.,
 Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc.,
 Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC, Facebook
 Payments, Inc., Siculus, Inc., Facebook
 Operations, LLC, and Mark Elliot Zuckerberg*

*Additional parties and counsel listed on
 signature pages*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND DIVISION**

People of the State of California, et al.,
 v.

*Meta Platforms, Inc., Instagram, LLC, Meta
 Payments, Inc., Meta Platforms Technologies,
 LLC*

*Office of the Attorney General, State of Florida,
 Department of Legal Affairs,*

v.
Meta Platforms, Inc., Instagram LLC

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT
 ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS
 LIABILITY LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
 ALL ACTIONS

MDL No. 3047

Case Nos. 4:23-cv-05448-YGR
 4:23-cv-05885-YGR
 4:22-md-03047-YGR-PHK

Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

**REQUEST FOR INCORPORATION BY
 REFERENCE AND JUDICIAL NOTICE IN
 SUPPORT OF META’S MOTION TO
 DISMISS THE MULTISTATE
 ATTORNEYS GENERAL COMPLAINT;
 FLORIDA ATTORNEY GENERAL
 COMPLAINT; AND PERSONAL INJURY
 PLAINTIFFS’ CONSUMER PROTECTION
 AND MISREPRESENTATION CLAIMS**

Hearing:

Date: TBD

Time: TBD

Place: Oakland, California

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Pursuant to the incorporation by reference doctrine and Federal Rule of Evidence 201(b), Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc., Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc., Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC, Facebook Payments, Inc., Siculus, Inc., and Facebook Operations, LLC (collectively, “Meta”) respectfully request that the Court treat as incorporated by reference or take notice of third-party Instagram accounts that are linked in the Multistate Complaint and video advertisements excerpted in the Multistate Complaint, in support of Meta’s concurrently filed Motion to Dismiss the Multistate Attorneys General Complaint; Florida Attorney General Complaint; and Personal Injury Plaintiffs’ Consumer Protection and Misrepresentation Claims.

I. LEGAL STANDARD

In deciding a motion to dismiss under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12, a district court may consider documents incorporated into the complaint by reference or subject to judicial notice without converting the motion into one for summary judgment. *See Khoja v. Orexigen Therapeutics, Inc.*, 899 F.3d 988, 998 (9th Cir. 2018).

Under the incorporation by reference doctrine, the Court may look beyond the pleadings to “documents whose contents are alleged in a complaint and whose authenticity no party questions, but which are not physically attached to the [plaintiff’s] pleading.” *Davis v. HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A.*, 691 F.3d 1152, 1160 (9th Cir. 2012) (citations omitted). Incorporation by reference is proper when the plaintiff’s claims rely on the document or where it is extensively cited in the complaint. *See Khoja*, 899 F.3d at 1002.

Federal Rule of Evidence 201 permits the Court to take judicial notice of an adjudicative fact if it is “not subject to reasonable dispute.” Fed. R. Evid. 201(b). A fact is “not subject to reasonable dispute” if it is “generally known,” or “can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.” Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)(1)-(2).

II. ARGUMENT

A. Third-Party Instagram Accounts and Meta Advertisements Linked and/or Excerpted in the Complaint Are Incorporated by Reference.

Judicial notice is appropriate for the content of various third-party Instagram accounts that are linked in the Complaint via their URL. The Complaint lists a number of Instagram accounts belonging

to third parties, with the URL for those accounts, but does not actually provide the content of those accounts. *See* Compl. ¶ 796. Judicial notice is appropriate for the content of a website when the Complaint cites to a URL matching that website. *See e.g., Whitaker v. Montes*, 2021 WL 1839713 at *1, (N.D. Cal. May 7, 2021) (“[T]he Court does take judicial notice of the website information submitted by Defendants. That website information comes from a URL that matches the URL provided in the complaint.”). Accordingly, the Court may take judicial notice of the content of the following Instagram accounts, which are incorporated by reference in the Complaint (at ¶ 796):

- Hot Wheels Official Account,
<https://www.instagram.com/p/CzcbCrZvLNp/?igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==> (published Nov. 19, 2023)
- Lego Official Account,
<https://www.instagram.com/reel/Cx29nWrJ0T5/?igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==> (published Oct. 1, 2023)
- Mickey Mouse Official Account,
<https://www.instagram.com/p/CyE0gNfNevy/?igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==> (published Oct. 6, 2023)
- Dr. Seuss Official Account,
<https://www.instagram.com/reel/CzEiCpHRXIA/?igshid=MzRlODBiNWFlZA==> (published Oct. 31, 2023)

Additionally, the Complaint includes still screenshots of Meta video advertisements, but does not provide the Court with a link or the full video. *See* Compl. ¶¶ 760–61, 829; *see also Knievel v. ESPN*, 393 F.3d 1068, 1076-77 (9th Cir. 2005) (recognizing incorporation by reference doctrine “applies with equal force to internet pages as it does to printed material”). Because these snapshots in the Complaint refer to the full videos, these full videos are incorporated by reference and may be considered in adjudicating Meta’s motion:

- “Instagram Hockey,” April 2023 Ad, available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gxy14wjkis>
- “We Are In the Making,” October 2021 Instagram Ad, available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iEqlzBrUWDA>
- “Safe and Secure Connections,” March 2022 Facebook TV Ad, available at <https://www.ispot.tv/ad/bbGm/facebook-safe-and-secure-connections>

1 **III. CONCLUSION**

2 Pursuant to the doctrine of incorporation by reference and/or Federal Rule of Evidence 201(b), the
3 Court should consider Instagram accounts whose URLs are provided in the Complaint and the full videos
4 of advertisements that the Multistate Complaint excerpts in ruling on Meta's Motion to Dismiss.

5 Dated: December 22, 2023

6 Respectfully submitted,

7
8 **COVINGTON & BURLING LLP**

9 /s/ Phyllis A. Jones

10 Paul W. Schmidt, *pro hac vice*
pschmidt@cov.com

11 Phyllis A. Jones, *pro hac vice*
pajones@cov.com

12 Christian J. Pistilli (*pro hac vice* pending)
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

13 One CityCenter
14 850 Tenth Street, NW
15 Washington, DC 20001-4956
16 Telephone: + 1 (202) 662-6000
Facsimile: + 1 (202) 662-6291

17 Emily Johnson Henn (State Bar No. 269482)
ehenn@cov.com

18 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
19 3000 El Camino Real
20 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor
21 Palo Alto, CA 94306
Telephone: + 1 (650) 632-4700
Facsimile: +1 (650) 632-4800

22 *Attorneys for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.,*
23 *Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc., Meta Platforms*
24 *Technologies, LLC, Facebook Payments, Inc., Siculus,*
Inc., Facebook Operations, LLC, and Mark Elliot
Zuckerberg